



## ONEIDA COUNTY FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD

---



Brymer Humphreys, Chair

Bill Tylutki ♦ Michael J. Cosgrove ♦ Roger Crary ♦ Andy Gale ♦ Paul Snider  
Paul van Lieshout ♦ Marty Broccoli ♦ James J. Genovese II ♦ Kathy Pilbeam ♦ George Joseph

December 9th, 2024

Mikale Billard, Clerk  
Oneida County Board of Legislators  
800 Park Avenue  
Utica, NY 13501  
RE: Public Hearing on Agriculture District 7 Applications

Dear Mr. Billard,

Pursuant to Article 25AA, Section 303, and by the recommendation of the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board (OCAFPB), I hereby make the following recommendation for Agriculture District 7 for the Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy, Trenton, and the City of Utica.

The OCAFPB held a Public Hearing for Agriculture District 7 on Wednesday, June 5, 2024, at 6:00 P.M. at Deerfield Town Hall, 6329 Walker Rd, Deerfield, NY 13502

There were no adverse comments received concerning the modifications of Agriculture District 7. After reviewing Agriculture District 7, the OCAFPB recommends all the modifications to the Districts.

Respectfully submitted.

Brymer Humphreys, Chairperson  
Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board

Cc: All FBP Members  
Commissioner of Agriculture and Markets

Oneida County Farmland Protection Board \* C/O Cornell Cooperative Extension  
121 Second Street \* Oriskany, New York \* 13424 \* (315) 736-3394



## ONEIDA COUNTY FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD

---



Brymer Humphreys, Chair

♦ Michael J. Cosgrove ♦ Roger Crary ♦ Andy Gale ♦ Paul Snider

Paul van Lieshout ♦ Marty Broccoli ♦ James J. Genovese II ♦ Kathy Pilbeam ♦ George Joseph

December 9th, 2024

Mikale Billard, Clerk  
Oneida County Board of Legislators  
800 Park Avenue  
Utica, NY 13501

RE: Public Hearing on Agriculture District 7 State Environment Quality Review

Dear Mr. Billard,

Pursuant to Article 25AA, Section 303, and by the recommendation of the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board (OCAFPB), I hereby make the following recommendation for Agriculture District 7 for the Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy, Trenton, and the City of Utica.

The OCAFPB held a Public Hearing for Agriculture District 7 State Environmental Quality Review on Wednesday, June 5, 2024, at 6:00 P.M. at Deerfield Town Hall, 6329 Walker Rd, Deerfield, NY 13502.

There were no adverse comments during the environmental review concerning the modifications of Districts 7. After preparing the Environment Assessment Review, The Farmland Protection Board recommends all modifications to the Districts.

Respectfully submitted,

Brymer Humphreys, Chairperson  
Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board

Cc: All FBP Members  
Commissioner of Agriculture and Markets

Oneida County Farmland Protection Board \* C/O Cornell Cooperative Extension  
121 Second Street \* Oriskany, New York \* 13424 \* (315) 736-3394



# ONEIDA COUNTY FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD

---



Brymer Humphreys, Chair

Bill Tylutki ♦ Michael J. Cosgrove ♦ Roger Crary ♦ Daniele Ricci ♦ Paul Snider  
Paul van Lieshout ♦ Marty Broccoli ♦ James J. Genovese II ♦ Kathy Pilbeam ♦ George Joseph

## **OC Farmland Protection Board Wednesday, March 20, 2024, 11:00 AM**

### **I. Call to order:**

Humphreys called the meeting to order at 11:43 AM

### **II. Attendance:**

The following persons were present: Baldwin, Cosgrove, Crary, Colucci, Harris (Ricci), Humphreys, Pawlusik, Snider, Tylutki (via Zoom), and van Lieshout

Guest: Celeste Oppito, CCE Oneida, and Madalyn De Carr, OC Planning

### **III. Approval of minutes from the last meeting:**

Motion by Cosgrove to approve December minutes as submitted. Second by Snider. Motion carried.

### **IV. Agriculture District 7 Applications (Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy, and Trenton):**

There are 24,288 acres in Agriculture District 7 since the last review. The board reviewed 30 new applications totaling 2,628 acres. Zero acres have asked to be removed from the district, and 437 acres are being removed due to no reply from the new landowner.

Motion by Crary to approve all 30 new applications. Second by Cosgrove. Motion carried.

### **V. Open Enrollment:**

The board reviewed 15 applications totaling 1,265 acres.

Crary asked the question, "What does the state look for on applications?" following the review of several applications with incorrect acreage and our missing information on the data collection form. Pawluski stated that when the applications are in review with state Ag & Markets, the following information is required; name of property owner, parcel ID number, local municipality, and the calculated acres for the parcel being reviewed.

Three applications were questioned, and further information is being requested by the board.

White, Jasmine, and McEwen. Pawlusik will contact White and Jasmine, and Snider will contact McEwen for further information and will provide updates at the June 2024 meeting.

Motion by Snider to approve 12 applications and request further information on the three applications in question. Second by van Lieshout. Motion carried.

## **VI. Open Discussion:**

**Public Hearing** - Baldwin will contact Town of Deerfield to request to hold the Public Hearing for Agriculture District 7 and Open Enrollment. She will report back to the board with a meeting scheduled for June 2024.

**Assessor's Meeting** – Pawlusik attends these meetings. Baldwin and Jessica Pyrda from Soil & Water have also attended to clear up confusion around Agriculture Assessment and Agriculture Districts.

Snider mentioned submitting a write-up about the difference between Agriculture Value Assessment and Agriculture Districts to the Rome Sentinel and other local papers. Baldwin will plan to do so in November or December 2023 before the January 2025 open enrollment period.

**Ag Friendly Municipality Training** – Baldwin and Pawlusik are working to coordinate local municipality training. This training will be supported by American Farmland Trust's Regional Navigator Program, Baldwin is a Regional Navigator for our county.

**Wind turbine Pressure:** Town of Paris and Marshall residents have recently been approached by companies looking into the area for wind turbines. There was a recent meeting at Sauquoit School to address community concerns. Baldwin has received one phone call from a resident complaining about the impact wind turbines can have on a community. No further discussion was had.

## **VII. Adjournment:**

Motion to adjourn by Crary. Second by van Lieshout. Meeting adjourned at 12:47 PM

**NEXT MEETING: Beginning of June at Deerfield Town Hall**



# ONEIDA COUNTY FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD

---



Brymer Humphreys, Chair

Bill Tylutki ♦ Michael J. Cosgrove ♦ Roger Crary ♦ Daniele Ricci ♦ Paul Snider  
Paul van Lieshout ♦ Marty Broccoli ♦ James J. Genovese II ♦ Kathy Pilbeam ♦ George Joseph

## **OC Farmland Protection Board Public Hearings 6:30 P.M. Wednesday, June 5, 2024 Minutes**

### **I. Call to order**

Humphreys called the meeting to order at 6:30 P.M.

### **II. Attendance**

The following persons were present: Brymer Humphreys, Paul Snider, Matt Pawlusik, Marty Broccoli, Maryellen Baldwin, Michael Cosgrove, Bill Tylutki

Guests: Joe DiNitto, Daniel Kupiak, Jamie L.

### **III. Approval of minutes from the last meeting**

Motion by Cosgrove to approve March minutes as submitted. Second by Snider. Motion carried.

### **IV. Public Hearing for 2024 Open Enrollment** – Humphreys opened the floor for public comment on the previously reviewed applications at 6:32 P.M. A total of 15 applications with 1,265 acres were submitted and the board approved 1,164 acres for 2024 Open Enrollment.

#### **COMMENTS:**

Jasmine – will not be added to a district at this time per the conversation had with Pawlusik.

White – Douglas and Jane White are working to reclaim land once used for hay production, they currently have goats, beef cattle, and chickens. The board is okay with approving this application into an Ag District.

McEwen – will not be added to a district at this time per the conversation had with Snider. Will apply in the future.

No further discussion.

Motion to approve 13 open enrollment applications and close the public hearing for the 2024 open enrollment by Snider.

Second by Cosgrove. No further discussion. Motion carried.

### **V. Public Hearing on 2023 State Environmental Quality Review for Open Enrollment** – Humpherys opened the floor at 6:40 P.M. A Copy of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) was presented. Pawlusik reviewed the short form. 1,164 acres will be added.

COMMENTS: Pawlusik discussed a downstate issue with a SEQR review not being accepted by Delaware County's BOL and concerns having to do with the county's denial of enrolling a horse operation into an Ag District.

Application this year came from landowners in a total of 9 different townships. Towns of Boonville, Paris, Remsen, Steuben, Vernon, Vienna, Westmoreland, Western, Whitestown.

Motion to approve the SEQR and close the public hearing by Cosgrove.

Second by Pawlusik. No further discussion. Motion carried.

**VI. Public Hearing for Agriculture District 7 – Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy, and Trenton–** Humphreys opened the floor for public comment on District 7 at 6:45 P.M.

COMMENTS:

Pawluski informed the Board that 1,500 acres were removed from the District a new acreage total for Ag District 7 is 24,288 – an increase to the district of 2,628 acres and 30 new applications were approved. DiNitto attended the meeting to ensure the land was still in an Ag District, Ag Districts were further explained by Baldwin and Pawlusik.

Motion to approve all 30 applications for Agricultural District 7 and close the public hearing by Snider.

Second by Cosgrove. No further discussion. Motion carried.

**VII. Public Hearing on State Environmental Quality Review for Agricultural District 5 –** Humphreys open the floor at 6:55 P.M. Copy of the SEQR was presented after reviewing a total of 24,288 acres in District 7. Pawlusik reviewed the short form.

COMMENTS: None

No further discussion.

Motion to approve the SEQR and close the public hearing for District 7 by

Cosgrove. Second by Pawlusik. No further discussion. Motion carried.

**VIII. Open Discussion**

Pawlusik was invited to attend an Ag & Markets conference and presented on Agriculture Districts, Assessment, and our role as Farmland Protection. Oneida County has been used as a state-wide example for having a well-organized and strong Farmland Protection Board.

Tabling Discussion for Board Positions till December with Broccoli's leave of absence.

**IX. Adjournment**

Motion to adjourn by Cosgrove. Second by Pawlusik. The meeting adjourned at 7:00 P.M.

Minutes submitted by M. Baldwin

**New York State  
Department of Agriculture and Markets**

**AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT REVIEW PROFILE**

**DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION**

County: ONEIDA COUNTY				District No.: 7
Town(s) in District: DEERFIELD, FLOYD, MARCY, TRENTON, UTICA				
No. acres in district: 24,288.6	No. acres farms: <sup>1</sup> 19,348	No. of farms in the district: 225	No. acres owned by farmers: 12,944	No. acres rented by farmers: 8,220

**AGRICULTURAL DATA ANALYSIS**

<p>A. Since last review, number of acres in District</p> <p>B. Since last review, number of acres in farms</p>	<p>Added: 2,628</p> <p>Increased:</p>	<p>Deleted:</p> <p>Decrease: 1,249</p>
--	---------------------------------------	--

---

<sup>1</sup> Number of acres in farms represents the sum of acres owned by farmers and rented by farmers.

**617.20**  
**Appendix B**  
**Short Environmental Assessment Form**

**Instructions for Completing**

**Part 1 - Project Information.** The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

<b>Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information</b>				
Name of Action or Project: 2024 Oneida County Agricultural District #7				
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy, Trenton, and the City of Utica				
Brief Description of Proposed Action: The eight-year review of NYS Agricultural District #7 for Oneida County				
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: Oneida County Board of Legislators		Telephone: (315) 798-5900 E-Mail: jsmith@ocgov.net		
Address: 800 Park Avenue				
City/PO: Utica		State: NY	Zip Code: 13501	
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.			<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>
			✓	
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency? If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:			<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>
New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets				✓
3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? <span style="float: right;">24,288.6 acres</span>				
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? <span style="float: right;">- acres</span>				
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? <span style="float: right;">- acres</span>				
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action. <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"><div style="width: 20%;"><input type="radio"/> Urban</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Rural (non-agriculture)</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input type="radio"/> Industrial</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input type="radio"/> Commercial</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Residential (suburban)</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Forest</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input checked="" type="radio"/> Agriculture</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input type="radio"/> Aquatic</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input type="radio"/> Other (specify): _____</div><div style="width: 20%;"><input type="radio"/> Parkland</div></div>				

5. Is the proposed action, a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?  b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
			✓
		✓	
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify: _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?  b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?  c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
			✓
			✓
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? [If Yes, does the existing system have capacity to provide service? <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES] If No, describe method for providing potable water: _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? [If Yes, does the existing system have capacity to provide service? <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES] If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?  b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?  b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: _____ _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
			✓
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply: <input type="checkbox"/> Shoreline <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forest <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agricultural/grasslands <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Early mid-successional <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Urban <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES  b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>	
			✓

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain purpose and size: _____ _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>
	✓	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? If Yes, describe: _____ _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>
	✓	
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: _____ _____ _____	<b>NO</b>	<b>YES</b>
	✓	
<b>I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE</b> Applicant/sponsor name: <u>Gerald J. Fiorini</u> Date: _____ Signature: _____		

**Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2.** Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept “Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?”

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	✓	
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	✓	
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	✓	
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	✓	
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	✓	
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	✓	
7. Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies? b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	✓	
	✓	
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	✓	
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	✓	

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	✓	
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	✓	

**Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3.** For every question in Part 2 that was answered “moderate to large impact may occur”, or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

- ☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.
- ☒ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

**Oneida County Board of Legislators**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Lead Agency

**Gerald J. Fiorini**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**Chairman**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title of Responsible Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Deerfield	1113 Herkimer Rd Utica, LLC	307.000-1-20	7.4
7	Deerfield	Abe Miller	250.000-1-16	18.5
7	Deerfield	Ammon Swartzenruber	231.000-1-12	91.6
7	Deerfield	Brenda Van Hatten	230.000-1-1	67.9
7	Deerfield	Catherine L Mack	280.000-1-6	82.5
7	Deerfield	Christian M Nebush	249.000-1-8.2	4.7
7	Deerfield	Christine Kearney	280.000-2-12.2	22.1
7	Deerfield	Daniel Fusco	266.000-1-7.2	44.2
7	Deerfield	Daniel Kupiak	279.000-1-17.1	13.5
7	Deerfield	Daniel Kupiak	279.000-1-49.2	1.3
7	Deerfield	Daniel Kupiak	279.000-1-51.1	29.0
7	Deerfield	Dannie Swartzentruber	249.000-1-26.1	59.4
7	Deerfield	Danny Brenning	230.000-1-2	116.5
7	Deerfield	Daryl Crouch	279.000-1-51.2	4.3
7	Deerfield	David Garrett	249.000-1-36	99.2
7	Deerfield	David Ozog	266.000-1-4.3	5.1
7	Deerfield	Dean V Hughes	231.000-1-31.1	145.9
7	Deerfield	Elaine Lyszczarz	279.000-1-16	10.4
7	Deerfield	Elaine Lyszczarz	279.000-1-17.5	14.0
7	Deerfield	Eugene Lyszczarz	279.000-1-17.4	20.2
7	Deerfield	Ezra A Hershberger	231.000-1-9.9	9.0
7	Deerfield	F & J Nebush IRR Trust	249.000-1-8.1	131.2
7	Deerfield	Gregory Kasprowicz	295.000-1-42.2	1.0
7	Deerfield	Gregory Kasprowicz	295.000-1-45.1	98.1
7	Deerfield	Hans Moser	265.000-2-6.1	84.1
7	Deerfield	Hans Moser	265.000-2-8	146.9
7	Deerfield	Hans Moser	265.000-2-9	116.7
7	Deerfield	Jeremy R Teel	230.000-1-4.1	74.8
7	Deerfield	Jeremy R Teel	230.000-1-6	53.6
7	Deerfield	Jeremy Teel	249.000-1-1	73.1
7	Deerfield	Jeremy Teel	249.000-1-2.1	52.6
7	Deerfield	Joseph Goldsmith	265.000-1-27.8	10.3
7	Deerfield	Lois Chierichella	307.000-1-13	70.8
7	Deerfield	Luis Pereira	249.000-1-12.3	51.9
7	Deerfield	Luis Pereira	249.000-1-19.1	159.1
7	Deerfield	Luis Pereira	249.000-1-19.3	2.5
7	Deerfield	Luke A Nebush	249.000-1-6.1	156.0
7	Deerfield	Marty Mierek	295.000-1-43.1	195.1
7	Deerfield	Melissa A Hawkins	250.000-1-13.3	123.3
7	Deerfield	Melloney Hathaway	308.000-1-10.1	63.4
7	Deerfield	North Gage Farms LLC	230.000-1-17.1	98.1
7	Deerfield	North Gage Farms LLC	230.000-1-4.4	11.1
7	Deerfield	North Gage Farms, LLC	230.000-1-8	15.8

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Deerfield	Ozog Family IRR Trust	266.000-1-4.1	127.2
7	Deerfield	Paul Miekam	249.000-1-4.3	15.3
7	Deerfield	Peter Crofts	250.000-1-24	193.5
7	Deerfield	Peter Ricci	249.000-1-2.10	87.6
7	Deerfield	Poplaski Farm, LLC	231.000-1-15	3.7
7	Deerfield	Poplaski Farm, LLC	250.000-1-10	142.1
7	Deerfield	Poplaski Farm, LLC	250.000-1-7	147.0
7	Deerfield	Redline Farms LLC	230.000-1-10.1	156.2
7	Deerfield	Robert Wuest	230.000-1-15	4.8
7	Deerfield	Samuel Hershberger	250.000-1-19.1	144.2
7	Deerfield	Samuel Hershberger	250.000-1-27.1	46.6
7	Deerfield	Sean D Wlock	280.000-2-11	24.6
7	Deerfield	Steven Klosek	280.000-2-8	58.8
7	Deerfield	Teresa Gage	249.000-1-13.1	97.2
7	Deerfield	Terrance Horan	265.000-1-23.12	18.5
7	Deerfield	Terrance Horan	265.000-1-23.8	11.1
7	Deerfield	Thaddeus Polczynski	232.000-1-4	207.9
7	Deerfield	Thaddeus Polczynski	232.000-1-5	100.8
7	Deerfield	Thaddeus Polczynski	232.000-1-9	42.2
7	Deerfield	The God's Country IRR Trust	266.000-1-10	163.5
7	Deerfield	The God's Country IRR Trust	266.000-1-11	26.4
7	Deerfield	Thomas Christiano	231.000-1-14.2	82.5
7	Deerfield	Timothy Mierek	295.000-1-48	0.7
7	Deerfield	Trudylinn Teel	230.000-1-13	114.0
7	Deerfield	William Jones	249.000-1-3	72.6
7	Deerfield	William R Foster	250.000-1-13.2	152.4
7	Floyd	Aaron Byler	192.000-1-10.5	28.2
7	Floyd	Albert D Powers	261.000-1-15	2.1
7	Floyd	Allen Byler	176.000-2-2.1	28.2
7	Floyd	Andy Troyer	227.000-2-1.1	233.5
7	Floyd	Anthony Dinitto	261.000-1-1	161.6
7	Floyd	Anthony Dinitto	261.000-1-14	41.4
7	Floyd	Anthony DiNitto	261.000-1-5.1	25.5
7	Floyd	Arlene Hartman-Lewis	225.000-1-47.3	44.1
7	Floyd	Arnold Lanckton	207.000-1-47.1	81.3
7	Floyd	Arnold Lanckton	207.000-1-48	9.5
7	Floyd	BA Buczkowski Irrevocable	245.000-1-74	297.1
7	Floyd	Bejian Living Trust	208.000-1-69.1	240.0
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	226.000-1-58	143.6
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	227.000-2-24.2	49.3
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	227.000-2-28.1	138.7
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	245.000-1-33.4	79.5
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	245.000-1-40.1	81.5

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	245.000-1-40.2	12.0
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	245.000-1-40.4	14.8
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	245.000-1-47.2	16.3
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	245.000-1-50.6	4.0
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	245.002-2-1.1	36.8
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	226.000-1-38.1	79.5
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	226.000-1-43.3	1.0
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	226.000-1-43.5	34.5
7	Floyd	Brabant Realty, LLC	226.004-1-1.2	63.8
7	Floyd	Chester C Miller	175.000-1-6.1	95.2
7	Floyd	Chester Lewis	225.000-1-47.2	5.0
7	Floyd	Claude Coe Trust	209.000-2-13	99.2
7	Floyd	Coe Family Irrevocable Trust	209.000-2-12	110.4
7	Floyd	Coe Family Irrevocable Trust	209.000-2-7.2	95.0
7	Floyd	Daniel D Miller	192.000-1-30.3	88.6
7	Floyd	Daniel Miller	192.000-1-10.3	12.2
7	Floyd	Doris Rickmyer	225.000-1-2.1	104.7
7	Floyd	Douglas Larry	244.000-2-12.1	22.2
7	Floyd	Douglas Larry	244.000-2-24	116.4
7	Floyd	Douglas Schallenberg	190.000-1-4.2	80.4
7	Floyd	DTT Realty LLC	176.000-2-3.2	15.7
7	Floyd	DTT Realty LLC	176.000-2-6	48.5
7	Floyd	Ellen J Koenig	192.000-1-10.2	59.8
7	Floyd	Ennis Farney	191.000-1-19.4	16.4
7	Floyd	Ernest R Miller	192.000-1-20.4	45.8
7	Floyd	Ernest R Miller	192.000-1-30.1	105.7
7	Floyd	Frank L Johnson	225.000-1-58	63.7
7	Floyd	Frank Moulton	245.000-1-6	28.3
7	Floyd	Frank Tolbert	193.000-2-2	5.3
7	Floyd	Frank Tolbert	245.000-1-31.1	111.0
7	Floyd	Gary Niedzielski	192.000-1-25.2	214.1
7	Floyd	Gene Edwards	245.000-1-50.1	136.0
7	Floyd	Gerald F Marsh	245.000-1-58.1	47.0
7	Floyd	Gerald F Marsh	245.000-1-60.1	121.6
7	Floyd	Gerald F Marsh	261.000-1-18	56.9
7	Floyd	Hobart Kraeger	176.000-2-2.5	22.8
7	Floyd	Jason Smith	245.000-1-26	0.6
7	Floyd	Jeanette Fobare	192.000-1-31	204.9
7	Floyd	Jeffery Larry	192.000-1-20.6	42.2
7	Floyd	Jeffrey Larry	192.000-1-20.5	24.3
7	Floyd	Joe Capanna	261.000-1-17.1	129.2
7	Floyd	John Koziarz	190.000-1-11.1	207.9
7	Floyd	John Koziarz	190.000-1-16	126.9

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Floyd	John Koziarz	190.000-1-17.3	15.2
7	Floyd	John Koziarz	190.000-1-25	34.2
7	Floyd	John Koziarz	190.000-1-36	8.6
7	Floyd	John Koziarz	190.000-1-5	79.3
7	Floyd	John Slifka	226.000-1-32.1	83.6
7	Floyd	Joseph Capanna	261.000-1-21	105.8
7	Floyd	Joseph Moyer	190.000-1-6.1	258.1
7	Floyd	Justin Koenig	245.000-1-33.2	117.3
7	Floyd	Justin Koenig	245.000-1-89	30.7
7	Floyd	Kathlean Smith	208.000-1-53	0.9
7	Floyd	Kathlean Smith-Pelton	208.000-1-55	3.6
7	Floyd	Larry E Hobin Jr	207.000-1-16.1	12.3
7	Floyd	Larry E Hobin Jr	207.000-1-30	63.5
7	Floyd	Larry E Hobin Jr	207.000-1-46.1	105.9
7	Floyd	Lester Miller	192.000-1-10.1	151.0
7	Floyd	Linda Tomassi, Revocable Trust	207.000-1-51	172.2
7	Floyd	Mark Rickmyer	225.000-1-1	3.6
7	Floyd	Mark Rickmyer	225.000-1-17.1	78.7
7	Floyd	Mark Rickmyer	225.000-1-40	30.3
7	Floyd	Mark Rickmyer	225.000-1-47.4	49.5
7	Floyd	Mark Rickmyer	225.000-1-56	105.8
7	Floyd	Michael Kelly	226.004-1-1.1	5.7
7	Floyd	Nunno Michelle Evans	190.000-1-26.1	177.5
7	Floyd	Pasquale Benzo	226.000-1-43.1	89.9
7	Floyd	Patrick M Hurley	207.000-1-52.1	83.2
7	Floyd	Patrick M Hurley	207.000-1-52.3	33.7
7	Floyd	Peter & Beverly Burns	192.000-1-25.3	27.1
7	Floyd	Racha Living Trust	193.000-2-1	238.7
7	Floyd	Raymond A Byler	191.000-1-8.1	118.6
7	Floyd	Richard Hughes	192.000-1-33	98.0
7	Floyd	Richard Slaga	207.000-1-46.2	5.4
7	Floyd	Robert Miglin	245.000-1-52	26.5
7	Floyd	Thomas Larson	244.000-1-3	68.5
7	Floyd	Wesley Hughes	192.000-1-34	243.7
7	Floyd	William Topor	245.000-1-54	14.9
7	Marcy	Albert Weltner	227.004-1-17.2	88.5
7	Marcy	Aleksandr Shikula	262.000-2-10.2	5.5
7	Marcy	Anthony Dinitto	261.000-3-24	1.4
7	Marcy	Anthony DiNitto	261.000-3-34.2	225.1
7	Marcy	Anthony DiNitto	261.000-3-35	6.5
7	Marcy	Anthony DiNitto	261.000-3-4	89.2
7	Marcy	Anthony DiNitto	261.000-3-44	15.2
7	Marcy	Anthony/Johanne DiNitto	276.000-3-1	39.3

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Marcy	Anthony/Johanne DiNitto	276.000-3-5	20.1
7	Marcy	Arthur Risucci	278.000-2-19.1	55.2
7	Marcy	Arthur Risucci	278.000-2-19.7	2.0
7	Marcy	Bernard Boris	246.000-1-16	70.4
7	Marcy	Bernard Hilts	246.000-2-34.1	141.9
7	Marcy	BHB Properties, LLC	292.000-2-1	83.3
7	Marcy	BHB Properties, LLC	292.000-2-2	53.2
7	Marcy	Brabant Realty, LLC	246.000-1-1	65.0
7	Marcy	Brabant Realty, LLC	246.000-1-30	115.2
7	Marcy	Candella Irrevocable Trust	292.000-3-6.1	27.2
7	Marcy	Candella Property Trust	292.004-1-43.1	0.7
7	Marcy	Candella Property Trust	292.004-1-44.2	0.6
7	Marcy	Candella Property Trust	306.000-2-57	112.1
7	Marcy	Candella's Farm & Greenhouses	247.000-2-32	1.9
7	Marcy	Corey Benoit	261.000-3-14	0.6
7	Marcy	David Roberts	278.000-1-19.1	32.2
7	Marcy	David Roberts	278.000-1-19.9	1.1
7	Marcy	David Tauss	293.000-1-1	126.9
7	Marcy	Donald Buttenschon	263.000-1-9.2	95.7
7	Marcy	Douglas Pilbeam	227.004-1-1	13.7
7	Marcy	Douglas Pilbeam	227.004-1-6.1	4.0
7	Marcy	DTT Realty LLC	247.000-2-4.1	2.8
7	Marcy	DTT Realty LLC	263.000-1-3	114.0
7	Marcy	Eli Troyer	247.000-2-3	30.0
7	Marcy	Family Koronowski	277.000-1-59.1	65.8
7	Marcy	Francis Manley	247.000-2-6	23.8
7	Marcy	Francis Manley	247.000-2-8	156.4
7	Marcy	Gavin Lloyd	247.000-2-25	229.5
7	Marcy	Gavin Lloyd	247.000-2-9	27.8
7	Marcy	Gene Babcock	263.000-1-65.3	49.3
7	Marcy	George Robertaccio	246.000-2-17.1	56.5
7	Marcy	George Robertaccio	246.000-2-17.2	1.4
7	Marcy	Georgiy Rusev	278.000-2-19.3	5.5
7	Marcy	Gerald F Marsh	245.000-2-1.1	41.9
7	Marcy	Gerald F Marsh	245.000-2-2	84.8
7	Marcy	Gerald F Marsh	261.000-3-3.1	64.8
7	Marcy	James DeArmond	277.004-1-8.1	67.5
7	Marcy	James DeArmond	277.004-1-8.5	3.8
7	Marcy	James M Pawloski	278.000-1-38.1	43.1
7	Marcy	Jessica Sciortino	263.000-1-15.1	2.2
7	Marcy	Joseph Capanna	261.000-3-42	13.5
7	Marcy	Joseph Edic	293.000-1-46.1	15.4
7	Marcy	Joseph Edic	293.003-3-56	18.4

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Marcy	Kathleen Ann Gregory-Salvo	292.000-4-7.1	2.1
7	Marcy	Kathleen Ann Gregory-Salvo	292.000-4-7.4	66.7
7	Marcy	Mark Nimey	246.000-2-12.1	21.6
7	Marcy	Maurice Lett	262.000-1-31.1	103.3
7	Marcy	Michael A Candella	292.004-1-43.2	0.5
7	Marcy	Michael A Candella	292.004-1-44.1	0.7
7	Marcy	Michael Candella	247.000-2-34	33.0
7	Marcy	Misiaszek Irrevocable Trust	262.000-1-40	32.5
7	Marcy	Raymond Poynter	262.000-1-60.8	4.2
7	Marcy	Richard A Patterson Living Trust	262.000-2-13.1	176.1
7	Marcy	Richard H Stefanski	246.000-2-18.1	52.7
7	Marcy	Richard H Stefanski Revocable Trust	246.000-2-24.1	12.4
7	Marcy	Richard H Stefanski Revocable Trust	262.000-1-21	10.0
7	Marcy	Rita Baker	246.000-2-15.1	110.6
7	Marcy	Rita Baker	246.000-2-15.2	79.7
7	Marcy	Robert Bulley	246.000-2-27	84.9
7	Marcy	Robert Miglin	246.000-1-42	94.3
7	Marcy	Rocco D Dinitto	261.000-3-41	38.6
7	Marcy	Ronald Seelman	263.000-1-15.6	12.3
7	Marcy	Ronald Seelman	263.000-1-9.1	70.2
7	Marcy	Terrance Jones	227.004-1-6.4	5.0
7	Marcy	Theodore Kubinski	278.000-2-41.2	37.5
7	Marcy	Theodore Kubinski	278.000-2-41.3	18.4
7	Marcy	Theodore Kubinski	293.000-1-23	27.7
7	Marcy	Theodore Kubinski	293.000-1-3.2	12.0
7	Marcy	Thomas A Farr	227.004-1-17.1	29.9
7	Marcy	Thomas A Farr	227.004-1-6.3	13.8
7	Marcy	Thomas A Farr	246.000-2-31.2	64.2
7	Marcy	Thomas Pianella	261.000-3-48.1	16.9
7	Marcy	William Maine	292.000-4-22.1	78.9
7	Marcy	William Topor	246.000-1-31	25.5
7	Trenton	Andre LaFave	177.000-1-21.3	22.1
7	Trenton	Andy Troyer	227.000-1-63.1	20.7
7	Trenton	Brenda Van Hatten	212.000-2-41.1	199.7
7	Trenton	Bruce C Grogan	248.000-1-5	41.8
7	Trenton	Candella's Farm & Greenhouses	247.000-1-70.2	0.2
7	Trenton	Carl A Anderson	212.000-2-41.5	13.2
7	Trenton	Charles B VanHatten	230.000-2-15	28.8
7	Trenton	Chester Arthur	160.000-1-3.2	30.3
7	Trenton	Christopher Welch	212.000-2-28	96.7
7	Trenton	Clayton T Hubbard	159.000-1-19.4	5.1
7	Trenton	Dale Buell	193.000-1-6.5	15.2
7	Trenton	Dale G Jones	228.000-1-1.8	43.7

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Trenton	Danny Brenning	230.000-2-7	43.2
7	Trenton	Darryl Wood	193.000-1-4	16.7
7	Trenton	Darryl Wood	193.000-1-6.6	12.7
7	Trenton	David D Miller	194.000-1-49.6	40.1
7	Trenton	David D Miller	194.000-1-49.8	60.9
7	Trenton	David T Synakowski	160.000-1-1.2	49.1
7	Trenton	David T Synakowski	160.000-1-56.1	160.0
7	Trenton	Donald Mower	248.000-1-19.8	200.4
7	Trenton	Donald Mower	264.000-2-2.2	4.9
7	Trenton	Donald Mower	264.000-2-2.4	2.8
7	Trenton	Donald Mower	264.000-2-2.5	76.0
7	Trenton	Donald Mower	264.000-2-2.6	7.2
7	Trenton	Donald Mower	264.000-2-2.7	56.1
7	Trenton	Donald S Buttenschon	210.000-1-21.1	99.9
7	Trenton	Douglas Pilbeam	227.000-1-45.1	38.2
7	Trenton	DTT Realty LLC	176.000-1-8	32.0
7	Trenton	DTT Realty LLC	176.000-1-9.1	69.9
7	Trenton	DTT Realty LLC	177.000-1-54	146.3
7	Trenton	Dwight & Gail Putnam Irr. Trust	177.000-1-6.1	89.4
7	Trenton	Dwight & Gail Putnam Irr. Trust	177.000-1-7	14.2
7	Trenton	Eleanor Scheidelman	228.000-2-8.1	112.5
7	Trenton	Eli Troyer	247.000-1-3.1	128.3
7	Trenton	Elizabeth Harwick	211.000-2-7.1	78.2
7	Trenton	Ernest Putnam	178.000-1-27.1	23.6
7	Trenton	Ernest Putnam	178.000-1-27.2	2.8
7	Trenton	Ernest Putnam	194.000-2-1	113.8
7	Trenton	Ernest Putnam	210.000-1-38	31.7
7	Trenton	Ernest Putnam	210.000-1-55.1	163.3
7	Trenton	Francis J. Manley Trust	247.000-1-73.1	15.0
7	Trenton	Frank Tolbert	193.000-1-22	223.4
7	Trenton	Frank Tolbert	194.000-1-1	220.6
7	Trenton	Frank Tolbert	228.000-1-37	65.3
7	Trenton	Gail Hannahs	177.000-1-19	59.2
7	Trenton	Gary Pereira	228.000-1-1.11	2.6
7	Trenton	George Leiter Doolittle	212.000-2-10	71.5
7	Trenton	Glen Roberts	177.000-1-8	7.7
7	Trenton	Harry Finn	176.000-1-1.1	66.6
7	Trenton	Henry Swartzentruber	247.000-1-73.2	51.8
7	Trenton	Jackie Gambill	229.000-1-75.1	148.3
7	Trenton	James O Tolbert	194.000-1-2	10.3
7	Trenton	James Roberts	177.000-1-2	20.1
7	Trenton	James Roberts	177.000-1-4	85.8
7	Trenton	James Roberts	177.000-1-5	251.3

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Trenton	James Roberts	177.000-1-52	95.7
7	Trenton	Jeffrey Lorraine	247.000-1-70.1	10.4
7	Trenton	Jeffrey M Sann	193.000-1-40.1	34.7
7	Trenton	Joe Troyer	248.000-1-15.4	80.0
7	Trenton	John Byler	177.000-1-1	23.1
7	Trenton	John McKennan	229.000-1-22	46.2
7	Trenton	Jonathon Lake	210.000-1-43.1	5.1
7	Trenton	Kathleen Moccaldi	194.000-2-46.2	5.3
7	Trenton	Kelly A Foote	211.000-3-11	28.0
7	Trenton	Kelly A Foote	211.000-3-9	10.0
7	Trenton	Kelly A Foote	229.000-1-5	6.8
7	Trenton	Kevin R Topi	229.000-1-18.1	42.2
7	Trenton	Kevin R Topi	229.000-1-26	33.4
7	Trenton	Leon Atwell	159.000-1-19.1	42.7
7	Trenton	Leon Atwell	159.000-1-19.6	12.8
7	Trenton	Leon Atwell	159.000-1-19.7	1.8
7	Trenton	Leon Atwell	159.000-1-32.1	230.1
7	Trenton	Leon Atwell	159.000-1-43.7	32.7
7	Trenton	Luis Pereira	228.000-1-1.10	47.4
7	Trenton	Marilyn Racha	193.000-1-1.1	81.7
7	Trenton	Mark Scheidelman	211.000-1-24.4	11.9
7	Trenton	Mederic Lavallee	160.000-1-2	2.9
7	Trenton	Michael Dwyer	176.000-1-12.1	1.7
7	Trenton	Michael Nimey	247.000-1-1.2	66.5
7	Trenton	Norbert Seavey	228.000-1-21	41.9
7	Trenton	Omer Loranger	194.000-1-65.2	207.0
7	Trenton	Otto Herrmann	248.000-1-9.1	199.7
7	Trenton	Rachel Bruder	228.000-2-6	108.7
7	Trenton	Randolph J Van Hatten	212.000-2-41.4	4.4
7	Trenton	Richard Arcuri	193.000-1-21.1	114.2
7	Trenton	Richard Arcuri	210.000-1-6	73.8
7	Trenton	Rickey Dygert	228.000-2-13	47.5
7	Trenton	Rickey Dygert	228.000-2-31.1	82.3
7	Trenton	Rickey Dygert	228.000-2-31.2	5.0
7	Trenton	Robert C Foote	229.000-1-1.1	241.7
7	Trenton	Robert C Foote	229.000-1-1.4	14.0
7	Trenton	Robert C Foote	229.000-1-78.12	19.7
7	Trenton	Robert D Horn	178.000-1-64.1	113.5
7	Trenton	Robert Jones	227.000-1-44	5.1
7	Trenton	Robert S McHale	248.000-1-1.1	68.2
7	Trenton	Robert Wuest	230.000-2-10.2	42.4
7	Trenton	Scott Collins	194.000-1-31	16.2
7	Trenton	Scott Collins	194.000-1-44	1.5

<u>Ag District #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Owner Name</u>	<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
7	Trenton	Scott Collins	194.000-1-47	40.9
7	Trenton	Scott Collins	194.000-1-48	175.6
7	Trenton	Stephen Brown	177.000-1-22.1	4.7
7	Trenton	Stephen Brown	177.000-1-22.2	43.2
7	Trenton	Steven Grogan	227.000-1-47	26.7
7	Trenton	Stuart Gates	193.000-1-10.12	3.2
7	Trenton	Susan Clarkin	228.000-1-46	9.9
7	Trenton	Terrance Jones	228.000-2-11.1	47.4
7	Trenton	Terrance Jones	229.000-1-78.1	97.2
7	Trenton	Terrance Jones	247.000-1-45.2	159.0
7	Trenton	Terrance Jones	247.000-1-46.1	102.0
7	Trenton	Terrance Jones	248.000-1-4	318.0
7	Trenton	Theodore Kubinski	228.000-1-20.1	226.2
7	Trenton	Thomas A Farr	227.000-1-43.2	67.1
7	Trenton	Thomas A Farr	227.000-1-45.2	12.0
7	Trenton	Trust Barry & Amanda Jones Family	210.000-1-18.1	49.7
7	Trenton	Van Namee Family Irr. Trust	229.000-1-70	71.8
7	Trenton	Walter Tomasik	227.000-1-19	13.5
7	Trenton	Walter Tomasik	227.000-1-52	12.9
7	Trenton	Wayne Hajdasz	176.000-1-5.4	11.7
7	Trenton	Wayne Hajdasz	177.000-1-3	52.0
7	Trenton	Wayne Smith	247.000-1-6.4	47.4
7	Trenton	Willard Thomas	210.000-1-43.2	50.1
7	Trenton	William Byler	159.000-1-43.1	218.8
7	Trenton	William Hartnagel	194.000-2-28.2	31.2
7	Trenton	William Hartnagel	194.000-2-30.2	40.7
7	Trenton	William Kruse	229.000-1-25.6	48.0
7	Utica	1113 Herkimer Rd Utica, LLC	307.016-1-18	57.1

**ONEIDA COUNTY FARMLAND PROTECTION BOARD REPORT FOR  
ONEIDA COUNTY AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 4  
TOWNS OF DEERFIELD, FLOYD, MARCY, TRENTON, AND UTICA  
JUNE 2024**

**1. INTRODUCTION**

This report presents the findings of the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board's 2024, eight year review and final recommendations to the County Legislature for Agricultural District #7 in Oneida County in the Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy, Trenton, and Utica.

**2. DISTRICT REVIEW**

**2.1 Consideration of Review Factors**

Section 303-a of Article 25AA of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law lists the factors that the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board (FPB) must consider when reviewing an agricultural district. The following text represents the results of the review of these factors as they relate to the review of Oneida County Agricultural District #7.

**2.1.1 The nature and status of farming and farm resources within such district, including the total number of acres of land and the total number of acres of land in farm operations in the district**

The majority of farmland in District #7 is related to dairy operations. This district also has a significant amount of cropland. Due to the presence of the Vernon Downs horse racing track nearby in the Town of Vernon there are also multiple horse farms within the district.

The 2024 modifications to District #7 would add over 2,268 acres to the district and increase the size of the district to 24,288.6 acres. This increase can be attributed to three factors. The first factor is due to the Farmland Protection Board's change in policy to automatically renew properties in agricultural districts unless the owner informs the board they no longer wish to be in the district. In the previous review of the district, the board decided to only include owners who submitted an Agricultural Data Collection Worksheet which resulted in several properties being excluded from the district. A second factor is the identification of potential properties and sending letters to landowners informing them of their eligibility to be added to a district. The third factor can be attributed to the Assessors in the Towns notifying landowners their properties were formerly in District #7 but were not included in the previous review of the district (2005) because they did not submit an enrollment form.

## **2.1.2 The extent to which the district has achieved its original objectives**

Farming continues to be the predominant land use in the Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy, Trenton. By and large, the district has served to retain farmland in agricultural production over time. Threats to continued agricultural production include an increase in residential development along rural roads and low prices for milk and other agricultural products. These factors have led to an overall decline in the amount of capital investment farmers have put into their operations over the past several years.

## **2.1.3 The extent to which county and local comprehensive plans, policies, and objectives are consistent with and support the district**

### **County Policies**

The county adopted an updated Farmland Protection Plan in 2017. The following three main objectives were outlined the plan: 1) Agricultural Economic Development- foster an economic climate that supports and promotes the retention and expansion of agricultural businesses within the county; 2) Ag Awareness/Ag Promotion- educate consumers as to the importance of agriculture in today's society, encourage agricultural producers to explore more direct marketing methods and alternative enterprises; and 3) Farmland Protection- to make government, primarily at the town and county level, more sensitive to the needs of agriculture.

### **Local Policies**

#### **Town of Deerfield**

The Town of Kirkland adopted an updated comprehensive plan in 1993. The plan identifies prime agricultural land and notes other areas where agriculture is located. The plan notes that the town should encourage these lands to remain agricultural. Although the plan is 30 years old, the agricultural component for the most part still reflects the agricultural areas of the town.

#### **Town of Floyd**

The Town of Westmoreland adopted an updated comprehensive plan in 2007. The plan notes the significance of agriculture in the town and indicates that farmland protection should be part of the town's future vision.

One of the goals outlined in the plan is "To support agriculture and viable farming as the primary economic activity in preserving the rural character and open space

qualities of the community”. To reach this goal the plan outlines several objectives including maintaining productive agricultural lands for future generations, encouraging clustering, discouraging commercial and industrial uses from locating in agricultural areas, encouraging hobby and specialty farms, and promoting agritourism.

The plan has several recommendations related to agriculture. Discouraging extension of public infrastructure into certified New York State Agricultural Districts, encouraging agriculture as a commercial enterprise, amending the zoning regulations to allow only agriculture in the Agricultural zoning district, and encouraging farmers to take advantage of Purchase of Development Rights and Conservation Easement programs are among the recommendations for maintaining the agricultural component of the town.

#### **Town of Marcy**

The Town of New Hartford adopted a comprehensive plan in 2014. The notes the significance of agriculture to the town indicating that half the town at that time was used for agriculture. The town also notes the decline in agricultural lands due to the economy, Oneida Indian Nation acquisitions, and encroaching development.

Objectives outlined in the plan include retaining cohesive agricultural areas, minimizing nonagricultural land uses by containing development, enhance preservation of natural resources, and avoiding the extension of public utilities into agricultural areas.

Amending the zoning regulations to create an Agricultural District and a Rural Residential District, requiring or encouraging clustering are plan recommendations.

#### **2.1.4 The degree of coordination between local laws, ordinances, rules and regulations that apply to farm operations in such district and their influence on farming.**

#### **Town of Deerfield**

The Town of Deerfield has zoning regulations in effect. All lands in the Town of Augusta within District #7 are zoned Agricultural (A). Agriculture, dairying, forestry, general farming, greenhouses, horticulture, livestock raising, and truck farming are identified as permitted principal uses within the A district. However according to the definition of farm within the zoning regulations a 10 acre minimum lot size is required. This minimum lot size requirement could potentially conflict with New York State Agriculture and Markets Law.

### **Town of Floyd**

The Town of Floyd has zoning regulations in effect. The vast majority of lands in the Town of Floyd within District #7 are zoned Agricultural. Farms are identified as a permitted use within A Districts with some restrictions. Two of the restrictions relate to setbacks for manure storage (50') and buildings with animals (100'). One restriction specifies that "no retail or commercial activity shall take place other than the storage, processing, and sale of farm products predominantly produced by the local farmer". The last stipulation may be somewhat restrictive and could potentially conflict with New York State Agriculture and Markets Law.

One parcel is zoned Planned Development (PD). The PD District does not identify specific land uses and encourages a mixture of uses. Existing uses are allowed however the agriculture activity on this parcel would be allowed to continue.

Another parcel is partially within an A District and a Rural Hamlet (RH) District. Farms and agriculture are not identified as a permitted use within the RH District. As a result they are considered nonconforming uses, which means that any expansion would require a use variance which may be difficult for the owner to obtain.

### **Town of Marcy**

The Town of Marcy has zoning regulations in effect. Lands in the Town of Westmoreland within District #7 fall within four different zoning districts: Rural Residential (RR), Residential (R), Heavy Commercial (HC), and Rural Development (RD). The majority of lands are zoned either RR or RD. Agriculture is identified as a permitted by right use within the RR, RD, and R Districts. Agriculture is allowed within the HC District with a Special Use Permit. Agricultural processing facilities and commercial greenhouses are also allowed with a Special Use Permit in the HC District.

### **Town of Trenton**

The Town of Whitestown has zoning regulations in effect and was recently updated in 2019. Lands in the Town of Whitestown within District #7 fall within three different zoning districts: The majority of lands are zoned either R-200, R-100, or R-80. Agriculture is identified as a permitted by right use within the R-200 and R-100 Districts. Agriculture is allowed within the R-80 with a Special Use Permit. Agricultural processing facilities and commercial greenhouses are also allowed with a Special Use Permit in R-80.

**3. RECOMMENDATION TO CONTINUE, TERMINATE, OR MODIFY DISTRICT**

The Oneida County Agricultural & Farmland Protection Board recommends that Agricultural District No.7 be modified to include the 225 landowners and 24,288.6 acres of farmland shown on the attached list. It is further recommended that the Oneida County Board of Legislators renew the district, as modified, for an additional eight-year period, and forward the modified district to the NYS Commissioner of Agriculture and Markets for approval and recertification.

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

**AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 7**

**TOWNS OF DEERFIELD, FLOYD, MARCY AND TRENTON**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Agricultural District #7 was established on July 16, 1975 pursuant to Article 25-AA of the Agriculture and Markets Law,

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Agriculture District #7 consists of the Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy and Trenton and consists of a total area of 27,190 acres,

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN**, that a public hearing shall be held by the Oneida County Board of Legislators/Oneida County Farmland Protection Board on Wednesday, June 5, 2024 at 6:30 P.M. at Deerfield Town Hall, 6329 Walker Rd. Deerfield, NY 13502.

Said public hearing is being held to consider the recommendations of the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board to review and recertify 27,190 acres to Agricultural District #6.

A description of maps of the District, proposed modifications and recommendations may be examined in the Oneida County Planning Department, at the Boehlert Center @ Union Station, 321 Main Street, Utica, NY 13501.

All parties of interest and citizens will be heard by the Oneida County Farmland Protection Board at the public hearing.

ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

Mikale Billard, Clerk  
MIKALE BILLARD, CLERK

DATE: MAY 7, 2024

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

**AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 7**

**TOWNS OF DEERFIELD, FLOYD, MARCY AND TRENTON-SEQR**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Agricultural District #7 was established on July 16, 1975 pursuant to Article 25-AA of the Agriculture and Markets Law,

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Agriculture District #7 consists of the Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy and Trenton and consists of a total area of 27,190 acres,

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN**, that a public hearing shall be held by the Oneida County Board of Legislators/Oneida County Farmland Protection Board on Wednesday, June 5, 2024 at 6:30 P.M. at Deerfield Town Hall, 6329 Walker Rd. Deerfield, NY 13502

Said public hearing is being held to consider the recommendations of the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board to review and recertify 27,190 acres to Agricultural District #7.

A description of maps of the District, proposed modifications and recommendations may be examined in the Oneida County Planning Department, at the Boehlert Center @ Union Station, 321 Main Street, Utica, NY 13501.

All parties of interest and citizens will be heard by the Oneida County Farmland Protection Board at the public hearing.

ONEIDA COUNTY BOARD OF LEGISLATORS

Mikale Billard, Clerk  
MIKALE BILLARD, CLERK

DATED: May 7, 2024

## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Daily Sentinel  
111 Langley Rd  
(315) 337-4000

State of Pennsylvania, County of Lancaster, ss:

Lea Boreland, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That (s)he is a duly authorized signatory of Column Software, PBC and duly authorized agent of Daily Sentinel, a Daily newspaper published in **Oneida County, New York**. A notice regarding Ag District #7 Public Notice was published in said newspaper as set forth below, or in the annexed exhibit. This newspaper has been designated by the Clerks of **Oneida County, Herkimer County and Madison County**, as a newspaper of record in their respective counties, and as such, is eligible to publish such notices.

### **PUBLICATION DATES:**

May. 21, 2024

NOTICE ID: F4UVLBw1L8vhyLXtYL9V

NOTICE NAME: Ag District #7 Public Notice

Publication Fee: \$38.30

*Lea Boreland*

(Signed) \_\_\_\_\_

### **VERIFICATION**

State of Pennsylvania  
County of Lancaster

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Notary Seal  
Nicole Burkholder, Notary Public  
Lancaster County  
My commission expires March 30, 2027  
Commission Number 1342120

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me on this: 05/21/2024

*Nicole Burkholder*

Notary Public

Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.

## **NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

### **AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 7**

### **TOWNS OF DEERFIELD, FLOYD, MARCY AND TRENTON**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Agricultural District #7 was established on July 16, 1975 pursuant to Article 25-AA of the Agriculture and Markets Law,

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Agriculture District #7 consists of the Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy and Trenton and consists of a total area of 27,190 acres,

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN**, that a public hearing shall be held by the Oneida County Board of Legislators/Oneida County Farmland Protection Board on Wednesday, June 5, 2024 at 6:30 P.M. at Deerfield Town Hall, 6329 Walker Rd. Deerfield, NY 13502.

Said public hearing is being held to consider the recommendations of the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board to review and recertify 27,190 acres to Agricultural District #7.

A description of maps of the District, proposed modifications and recommendations may be examined in the Oneida County Planning Department, at the Boehlert Center @ Union Station, 321 Main Street, Utica, NY 13501.

All parties of interest and citizens will be heard by the Oneida County Farmland Protection Board at the public hearing.

ONEIDA COUNTY  
BOARD OF LEGISLATORS  
MIKALE BILLARD, CLERK  
DATE: MAY 7, 2024

## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Daily Sentinel  
111 Langley Rd  
(315) 337-4000

State of Pennsylvania, County of Lancaster, ss:

Lea Boreland, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That (s)he is a duly authorized signatory of Column Software, PBC and duly authorized agent of Daily Sentinel, a Daily newspaper published in **Oneida County, New York**. A notice regarding Ag District #7 SEQR Public Notice was published in said newspaper as set forth below, or in the annexed exhibit. This newspaper has been designated by the Clerks of **Oneida County, Herkimer County and Madison County**, as a newspaper of record in their respective counties, and as such, is eligible to publish such notices.

### **PUBLICATION DATES:**

May. 21, 2024

**NOTICE ID:** exkRYCah9FsLZQcHgAa5

**NOTICE NAME:** Ag District #7 SEQR Public Notice

**Publication Fee:** \$38.30

*Lea Boreland*

(Signed) \_\_\_\_\_

### **VERIFICATION**

State of Pennsylvania  
County of Lancaster

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Notary Seal  
Nicole Burkholder, Notary Public  
Lancaster County  
My commission expires March 30, 2027  
Commission Number 1342120

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me on this: 05/21/2024

*Nicole Burkholder*

Notary Public

Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.

## **NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

### **AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT NO. 7**

### **TOWNS OF DEERFIELD, FLOYD, MARCY AND TRENTON-SEQR**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Agricultural District #7 was established on July 16, 1975 pursuant to Article 25-AA of the Agriculture and Markets Law,

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that Agriculture District #7 consists of the Towns of Deerfield, Floyd, Marcy and Trenton and consists of a total area of 27,190 acres,

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN**, that a public hearing shall be held by the Oneida County Board of Legislators/Oneida County Farmland Protection Board on Wednesday, June 5, 2024 at 6:30 P.M. at Deerfield Town Hall, 6329 Walker Rd. Deerfield, NY 13502

Said public hearing is being held to consider the recommendations of the Oneida County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board to review and recertify 27,190 acres to Agricultural District #7.

A description of maps of the District, proposed modifications and recommendations may be examined in the Oneida County Planning Department, at the Boehlert Center @ Union Station, 321 Main Street, Utica, NY 13501.

All parties of interest and citizens will be heard by the Oneida County Farmland Protection Board at the public hearing.

ONEIDA COUNTY  
BOARD OF LEGISLATORS  
MIKALE BILLARD, CLERK  
DATED: May 7, 2024